

Enhanced effects of the Lorentz invariance and Einstein's equivalence principle violation in 7.8 eV ^{229}Th nuclear transition

V.V. Flambaum

School of Physics, The University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia

(Dated: November 17, 2015)

The Lorentz invariance and Einstein equivalence principle violating effects in the narrow 7.8 eV transition in ^{229}Th nucleus may be 10^5 times larger than in atoms. This transition may be investigated using high precision laser spectroscopy methods, has a very small width, and suppressed systematic effects. Similar values of the effects are expected in 73 eV ^{235}U nuclear transition which is coming within the reach of the laser spectroscopy. Mössbauer transitions give another possibility.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Er, 12.60.-i, 42.62.Fi, 23.20.Lv
Measurements of the Local Lorentz Invariance Violation (LLIV) effects is a very popular direction of the search for physics beyond the Standard Model (see, e.g. [1–4]). The LLIV effects are usually classified using notations of the works [1, 5–7] coming under the name the Standard Model Extension (SME). In the present paper we are interested in the term violating Lorentz invariance and Einstein's equivalence principle which in the non-relativistic limit is presented as

$$\delta H = - \left(C_0^{(0)} - \frac{2U}{3c^2} c_{00} \right) \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{p}$ is the momentum, c is the speed of light, and U is the Newtonian gravitational potential. To measure this term we should consider transitions where the kinetic energy $\mathbf{p}^2/2m$ changes.

A high precision laser atomic spectroscopy have been used to establish limits on the interaction (1) [8–10]. Using the virial theorem for the Coulomb interaction we obtain the difference of kinetic energies between excited and ground states

$$-(\langle \mathbf{p}^2/2m_e \rangle_{exc} - \langle \mathbf{p}^2/2m_e \rangle_{gr}) = \hbar\omega \sim \text{few eV}.$$

Note, that the relativistic corrections to the electron wave functions and energies such as that produced by the spin-orbit interaction, are quite large in heavy atoms ($\sim Z^2\alpha^2$) and change this estimate dramatically for small $\hbar\omega$. For example, the difference $-(\langle \mathbf{p}^2/2m_e \rangle_{exc} - \langle \mathbf{p}^2/2m_e \rangle_{gr})$ does not tend to zero for $\hbar\omega = 0$ [8]. However, the relativistic corrections to the LLIV operator Eq. (1) remain very small [8, 10].

To have 10^5 larger difference $\langle \mathbf{p}^2/2m \rangle_{exc} - \langle \mathbf{p}^2/2m \rangle_{gr}$ and higher sensitivity to LLIV we propose to use a narrow ultraviolet transition between the ground state and first metastable excited state in ^{229}Th nucleus. Currently this transition is very intensely investigated both theoretically and experimentally. A brief review with numerous references may be found in the recent paper [11]. The latest transition energy measurement gave $\omega = 7.8(5)$ eV [12]. The estimated width is $\sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ Hz [11, 13]. In Refs. [14–17] it was suggested to use this transition as a high precision nuclear clock with a projected accuracy exceeding that of the current atomic clocks by two orders of magnitude [15]. This transition may also be used to build

a nuclear laser [18]. Possible effects of the space-time variation of the fundamental constants such as the fine structure constants α , quark masses and the strong interaction scale Λ_{QCD} , are enhanced in this transition up to five orders of magnitude [19] (see also [20–24]).

The ground state of ^{229}Th nucleus is $J^P[Nn_z\Lambda] = 5/2^+[633]$; i.e. the deformed oscillator quantum numbers are $N = 6$, $n_z = 3$, the projection of the valence neutron orbital angular momentum on the nuclear symmetry axis (internal z-axis) is $\Lambda = 3$, the spin projection $\Sigma = -1/2$, and the total angular momentum and the total angular momentum projection are $J = \Omega = \Lambda + \Sigma = 5/2$. The 7.8 eV excited state is $J^P[Nn_z\Lambda] = 3/2^+[631]$; i.e. it has $\Lambda = 1$, $\Sigma = 1/2$ and $J = \Omega = 3/2$.

The energy of both states may be described by an equation

$$E = \langle \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_n} \rangle + \langle U \rangle + C\Lambda\Sigma,$$

where m_n is the neutron mass and the spin-orbit interaction constant in Th nucleus is $C = -0.85$ MeV [25]. In the simplest single-particle model the difference of the spin-orbit energies between the excited ($\Lambda = 1$, $\Sigma = 1/2$) and the ground ($\Lambda = 3$, $\Sigma = -1/2$) states is $2C$. The many-body corrections reduce this difference to $1.2C$ [22].

In the oscillator potential the kinetic and potential energies are equal, $\langle \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} \rangle = \langle U \rangle$. This gives us an estimate for the difference of kinetic energies between the excited and ground states $\langle \mathbf{p}^2/2m_n \rangle_{exc} - \langle \mathbf{p}^2/2m_n \rangle_{gr} = 0.5(\hbar\omega - 1.2C) = 0.5$ MeV.

This simple analytical estimate shows that the Lorentz invariance and Einstein equivalence principle violating effects Eq.(1) in ^{229}Th nucleus may be 10^5 times larger than in atoms. The 7.8 eV transition may be investigated using high precision laser spectroscopy methods, has a very small width, extremely small black body radiation shift and suppressed other systematic effects (see e.g. [15]). This gives additional advantages. We conclude that this nuclear transition has a great potential for a laboratory search for new physics.

A similar value $\langle \mathbf{p}^2/2m_n \rangle_{exc} - \langle \mathbf{p}^2/2m_n \rangle_{gr} \sim 0.1 - 1$ MeV is expected in ^{235}U 73 eV transition. The laser spectroscopy for this transition will be available soon [26]. Another possibility is Mössbauer transitions. However, the frequencies in these transitions are larger, so the relative effects will be smaller. The accuracy of the mea-

surements in the Mössbauer spectroscopy is also not as high as in the laser spectroscopy

This work is supported by the Australian Research Council.

-
- [1] Kostelecký, V. A. & Russell, N. Data tables for Lorentz and CPT violation. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 11-32 (2011). (Updated yearly: *arXiv:0801.0287v8*.)
 - [2] Kostelecký, V. A. & Potting, R. CPT, strings, and meson factories. *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 3923-3935 (1995).
 - [3] Horava, P. Quantum gravity at a Lifshitz point. *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 084008 (2009).
 - [4] Pospelov, M. & Shang, Y. Lorentz violation in Horava-Lifshitz-type theories. *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 105001 (2012).
 - [5] Kostelecký, V. A. & Lane, C. D. Constraints on Lorentz violation from clock-comparison experiments. *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 116010 (1999).
 - [6] Colladay, D. & Kostelecký, V. A. CPT violation and the standard model. *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 6760-6774 (1997).
 - [7] Colladay, D. & Kostelecký, V. A. Lorentz-violating extension of the standard model. *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 116002 (1998).
 - [8] Hohensee, M. A. *et al.* Limits on Violations of Lorentz Symmetry and the Einstein Equivalence Principle using Radio-Frequency Spectroscopy of Atomic Dysprosium. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 050401 (2013).
 - [9] Pruttivarasin, T. *et al.* Michelson-Morley analogue for electrons using trapped ions to test Lorentz symmetry. *Nature* **517**, 592-595 (2015).
 - [10] V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, M. Safronova, S. G. Porsev, T. Pruttivarasin, M. A. Hohensee, H. Häffner, *Nature-Physics*, 2015 (in press), arxiv: 1507.06048
 - [11] E. V. Tkalya, C. Schneider, J. Jeet, and E. R. Hudson, arxiv: 1509.09101
 - [12] B. R. Beck, J. A. Becker, P. Beiersdorfer, G. V. Brown, K. J. Moody, J. B. Wilhelmy, F. S. Porter, C. A. Kilbourne, and R. L. Kelley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 142501 (2007). Update value 7.8(5) eV is presented at URL <https://e-reports-ext.llnl.gov/pdf/375773.pdf>
 - [13] E. V. Tkalya, A. N. Zherikhin, and V. I. Zhudov, *Phys. Rev. C* **61**, 064308 (2000); A. M. Dykhne, E. V. Tkalya, *Pis'ma Zh. Eks. Teor. Fiz.* **67**, 233 (1998) [*JETP Lett.* **67**, 251 (1998)].
 - [14] E. Peik and Chr. Tamm, *Europhys. Lett.* **61**, 181 (2003).
 - [15] C. J. Campbell, A. G. Radnaev, A. Kuzmich, V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, and A. Derevianko, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 120802 (2012).
 - [16] W. G. Rellergert, D. DeMille, R. R. Greco, M. P. Hehlen, J. R. Torgerson, and E. R. Hudson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 200802 (2010).
 - [17] E. Peik and M. Okhapkin, *C. R. Phys.* **16**, 516 (2015),
 - [18] E. V. Tkalya, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 162501, 2011.
 - [19] V. V. Flambaum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 092502 (2006).
 - [20] [9] H.-t. He and Z.-z. Ren, *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys.* **34**, 1611 (2007).
 - [21] A. C. Hayes and J. L. Friar, *Phys. Lett. B* **650**, 229 (2007).
 - [22] V.V. Flambaum, R.B. Wiringa, *Phys. Rev. C* **79**, 034302 (2009).
 - [23] E. Litvinova, H. Feldmeier, J. Dobaczewski, and V. Flambaum, *Phys. Rev. C* **79**, 064303 (2009).
 - [24] V.V. Flambaum, N. Auerbach, V.F. Dmitriev *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **85**, 50005 (2009)
 - [25] A. Bohr, B. R. Mottelson. *Nuclear structure*, V. 2. (Benjamin, New York, Amsterdam, 1974).
Note that the spin-orbit interaction in nuclei is significantly larger than that given by the Dirac equation (it has a different origin).
 - [26] A. Cingöz, D. C. Yost, T. K. Allison, A. Ruehl, M.E. Fermann, I. Hartl, and J. Ye, *Nature*, **482**, 68 - 71 (2012).